

## Non Statutory Policy

On

## Non-Examination Assessments (NEAs)

Drafted by:
Director of Business
Date of Approval by Governing Body:
November 2021
This Policy is rolled over and is current
Signed By Chair of Governors:
Review date: September 2023
Person(s) Responsible for Day to Day Management: Director of Business & Senior Deputy Headteacher
Person Responsible for Review:
Director of Business & Senior Deputy Headteacher

## **Contents**

What does this policy affect?	3
Purpose of the policy	3
What are non-examination assessments?	3
Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and	
responsibilities	
The basic principles	
Task setting	
Issuing of tasks	
Task taking	5
Supervision	5
Advice and feedback	5
Resources	5
Word and time limits	5
Collaboration and group work	5
Authentication procedures	6
Presentation of work	6
Keeping materials secure	6
Task marking – externally assessed components	6
Conduct of externally assessed work	6
Submission of work	7
Task marking – internally assessed components	7
Marking and annotation	7
Internal standardisation	7
Consortium arrangements Error! Bookmark not de	efined.
Submission of marks and work for moderation	7
Storage and retention of work after submission of marks	8
External moderation - feedback	8
Access arrangements	8
Special consideration	9
Malpractice	9
Enquiries about results	9
Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England	10
Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in Eng	land 11
Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments	12

### What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of reformed GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

"The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is not 'externally set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions' is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA). 'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'."

[Definition taken directly from the JCQ publication <u>Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments</u>— Foreword, page 3]

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

## Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

#### What are non-examination assessments?

"Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking."

## Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

#### The basic principles

#### **Head of centre**

- Ensures that the centre's non-examination assessment policy is fit for purpose
- Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internally assessed marks

#### **Senior leaders**

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments which comply with <u>NEA</u> and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

#### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

• Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments are used by teachers and candidates

- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

#### Subject head/lead

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

#### Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in <u>NEA</u>
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the
  awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subjectspecific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the
  internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code or is made as a separate unit entry
  code) to the internal deadline for entries

#### **Exams Support Officer/Director of Business**

 Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

#### Task setting

#### Subject teacher

- Selects tasks from a choice provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted
  by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

#### Issuing of tasks

#### Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures requirements for legacy specification tasks and new specification tasks are distinguished between

#### Task taking

#### **Supervision**

#### Subject teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution
- Ensures candidates are aware of the JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-examination</u> <u>assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates

#### Advice and feedback

#### Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allow candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

#### Resources

#### Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

#### Word and time limits

#### Subject teacher

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

#### **Collaboration and group work**

#### Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

#### **Authentication procedures**

#### Subject teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification
  - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
  - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for enquiries about results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in <u>NEA</u> and informs the exams officer

#### **Presentation of work**

#### Subject teacher

- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work

#### Keeping materials secure

#### Subject teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in <u>NEA 4.8</u>
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until the closing date for enquiries about results or until the outcome of an enquiry or any subsequent appeal has been conveyed to the centre
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed
  or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means
- Liaises with the IT Manager to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

#### IT Manager

Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates'
work where work is stored electronically

#### Task marking – externally assessed components

#### Conduct of externally assessed work

#### Subject teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding arrangements for the conduct of any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body
- Conducts the externally assessed component according to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting examinations

Blythe Bridge High School & Sixth Form 2020-2021

#### **Exam Support Officer/Director of Business**

 Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification

#### **Submission of work**

#### **Exam Support Officer/Director of Business**

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label for despatch by the required deadline

#### Task marking - internally assessed components

#### Marking and annotation

### Subject teacher

- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed in a timely manner to enable an internal appeal to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

#### Internal standardisation

#### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

• Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence

#### Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards

#### Submission of marks and work for moderation

#### Subject teacher

- Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

#### **Exams Support Officer/Director of Business**

Inputs and submits marks via EDI

Blythe Bridge High School & Sixth Form 2020-2021

- Where responsible for marks input, ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted
- Ensures that for postal moderation
  - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
  - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
  - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results

#### Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

#### Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions until after the deadline for enquiries about results
- Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)

#### **Exams Support Officer/Director of Business**

• Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

#### **External moderation - feedback**

#### Subject head/lead

- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series
- Exams Support Officer/Director of Business
- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

#### **Access arrangements**

#### Subject teacher

 Works with the SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

#### Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements and Reasonable</u> <u>Adjustments</u>
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

#### **Special consideration**

#### Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate
  - is absent
  - produces a reduced quantity of work
  - work has been lost
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments

#### **Exams Support Officer/Director of Business**

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application

#### **Malpractice (Updated for the June 2021 series)**

- Our general centre policies regarding malpractice, maladministration and conflicts of interest have been reviewed to insure they address the specific challenges of delivery in Summer 2021.
- To protect the integrity of assessments, all staff involved in the determination of grades must declare
  any conflict of interest such as relationships with students to our Head of Centre for further
  consideration

#### **Head of centre**

- Understands the responsibility to report to the relevant awarding body any suspected cases of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments:</u>
  Policies and Procedures

#### Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination</u> <u>assessments</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates Social Media

#### **Exams Support Officer/Director of Business**

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures</u> to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work</u> to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of suspected malpractice

#### **Enquiries about results**

#### Head of centre

Blythe Bridge High School & Sixth Form 2020-2021

 Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support an enquiry about results request or not supporting an appeal following the outcome of an enquiry about results

#### Subject head/lead

- Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about enquiries about results
- Oversees any review of marking requests for an internally assessed piece of work. Disseminates the review to another member of their team or conducts the review themselves.

#### Subject teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Seeks guidance from Subject Head if a review of the marking of an internally assessed piece of work is requested by a student. The member of staff must have this work available for a colleague to conduct a review.
- Keeps paperwork specific to a review of marking and the outcome.

#### **Exams Support Officer/Director of Business**

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally
  assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication <u>Post</u>
  Results Services, Information and guidance for centres
- Provides relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments (externally assessed) are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline
- Collects candidate consent & payment where required

#### Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England

#### **Head of centre**

Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all
reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or
will have, the opportunity to undertake the prescribed practical activities

#### Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the *Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England*
- Ensures a response is provided to JCQ indicating which awarding body the centre intends to use for its entries in A level Biology, Chemistry and Physics (in Autumn 2016)
- Undertakes training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Disseminates information to subject teachers ensuring the standards can be applied appropriately
- Liaises with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit

#### Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Ensures the required arrangements for practical activities are in place
- Provides all the required centre records
- Ensures candidates provide the required records
- Provides any required information to the subject lead regarding the monitoring visit
- Assesses candidates using Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC)

- Applies for an exemption where a candidate cannot access the practical endorsement due to a substantial impairment
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment outcome

#### **Exams Support Officer/Director of Business**

 Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment

## Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

#### Head of centre

Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all
reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or
will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

#### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

• Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

#### Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

#### Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

#### **Exams Support Officer/Director of Business**

 Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and the storage and submission of recordings

# Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted	
failure/corruption of task details	prior to start of course	
where set task details accessed	IT systems checked prior to key date	
from the awarding body online	Alternative IT system used to gain access	
Octobridge O. Protters I. o.	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	
Centre set task: Subject teacher	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training	
fails to meet the assessment	information, practice materials etc.	
criteria as detailed in the	Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task	
specification	setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification	
	Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	
Candidates do not understand	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria	
the marking criteria and what	described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an	
they need to do to gain credit	individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for	
they heed to do to gain credit	candidates	
	Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended	
absence during the task setting	absence at key points in the exam cycle	
stage	all control at not perme in and chain of oil	
Issuing of tasks		
Task for legacy specification	Ensures subject teachers take care to distinguish between	
given to candidates undertaking	requirements/tasks for legacy specifications and	
new specification	requirements/tasks for new specifications	
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains	
	unresolved	
Awarding body set task not	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the	
issued to candidates on time	specification noted prior to start of course	
	Course information issued to candidates contains details when set	
	task will be issued and needs to be completed by	
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning,	
The second section of the section of	resourcing and teaching	
The wrong task is given to	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding	
candidates	body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to	
	candidates	
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains	
Cubiast taashar lang tarm	unresolved	
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	
tasks stage	absence at key points in the exam cycle	
Task taking		
Supervision		
Planned assessments clash with	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course	
other centre or candidate	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	
activities	p state and a state and a state and a state a	
Rooms or facilities inadequate	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT	
for candidates to take tasks	facilities for the start of the course	
under appropriate supervision	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for	
•	number of candidates	
	Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the	
	same time (exam conditions do not apply)	
Insufficient supervision of	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ	
candidates to enable work to be	publication instructions for conducting non-examination	
authenticated	assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the	
	awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of	
	candidates	

	Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities	
	as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy	
A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed	
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	
Advice and feedback		•
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work	
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage	
A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not reference information from published source	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate does not set out references as required	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up	
Candidate moves to another	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done	
An excluded pupil wants to complete his/her non-examination assessment(s)	depending on the stage at which the move takes place  The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education  If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are made separately for the candidate	

Resources		
A candidate augments notes	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in	
and resources between formally	and kept secure between formally supervised sessions	
supervised sessions	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected	
	in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions	
	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for	
	candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions	
A candidate fails to	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	
acknowledge sources on work	resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used,	
that is submitted for assessment	including books, websites and audio/visual resources	
	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the	
	candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records	
	acknowledges sources appropriately	
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records,	
	awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is	
	submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
Word and time limits		
A candidate is penalised by the	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been	
awarding body for exceeding	checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory	
word or time limits	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged	
	from exceeding them	
	Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on	
	word or time limits is known and understood	
Collaboration and group work	·	•
Candidates have worked in	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been	
groups where the awarding	checked to determine if group work is permitted	
body specification states this is	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains	
not permitted	unresolved	
Authentication procedures		J
A teacher has doubts about the	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ	
authenticity of the work	document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates'	
submitted by a candidate for	work	
internal assessment	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	
	current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	
Candidate plagiarises other	examination assessments	
material	Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to	
material	do to comply with the regulations for non-examination	
	assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments	
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment	
	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	
Condidate dage not sign their	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	
Candidate does not sign their authentication	current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	
statement/declaration	examination assessments	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do	
	to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document	
	Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of	
Cubicat tagebar not available to	a candidate for formal assessment	
Subject teacher not available to	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to	
sign authentication forms	sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work	
Presentation of work	as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	1
Candidate does not fully	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before	
complete the awarding body's	accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	
complete the awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to	accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	
their worked submitted for		
formal assessment		
Keeping materials secure Candidates work between	Pacards confirm subject toochers are aware of and follow autrent	1
	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination	
		Î.
formal supervised sessions is	,	
not securely stored	assessments	
•	,	

available to subject teacher  Task marking — externally assessed components  A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason acceptable reason acceptable reason  A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason  The candidate is marked absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason  Task marking — internally assessed components  A candidate submits little or no work  Where a candidate submits little or no work  A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforcesen reason  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  Candidate malpractice is discovered  A candidate malpractice is discovered  A teacher marks the work of his pocial and the process is to be followed for lost or damaged work  A candidate malpractice is discovered  A teacher marks the work of a hasher or marks the work of a candidate is often as a season of the process of the pro			
Allemative secure storage sourced where required A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason  A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an an acceptable reason  A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason  Task marking—internally assessed components  A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason  Task marking—internally assessed components  Where a candidate submits little or no work  A candidate is unable to finish their work for the examiner visit for an assessment criteria and an and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria an and an and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment rateria an and an and an and incated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment rateria an and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment rateria an and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment rateria an and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment rateria an and the process to be followed for a both and in work  Relevant staff are signposted to the UCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for both of an antique to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for both of a both and the process to be followed.  A teacher marks the work of all the process to be followed for a both of a both and a season and processes and the current UCQ publication and reporting procedures in the current UCQ publication and process (chapter 5), to determine a season and processes and processes (chapter 5), to determine a season and a	Adequate secure storage not	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to	
Task marking — externally assessed components  A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reads of the examiner visit for an acceptable reads of the examiner visit for an acceptable reads of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason  Task marking — internally assessed components  A candidate submits little or no work  Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body  Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as assent when marks are submitted to the awarding body  A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason  The work for unforeseen reason  The work for unforeseen reason  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  The work of a candidate malpractice is listing the process to be followed for its or damaged work  The work of a candidate malpractice is listing the process of the followed for source designed to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 3) and the process of the followed for his/her own c	available to subject teacher		
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason  A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason  A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason  Task marking — Internally assessed components  A candidate is absent on the aday of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason  Task marking — Internally assessed components  A candidate submits little or no work  A candidate submits little or no work  A candidate submits little or no work  A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason  The work for unforeseen reason  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  or damaged  A candidate is lost or damaged  A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  or damaged  A candidate is lost or damaged work  Relevant staff are signposted to the UCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work  Relevant staff are signposted to the UCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work  Instructions and processes in the current UCQ publication instructions for conducting on-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed  A teacher marks the work of his/her own child at the start of the course  An extension to the deadline for submits in termal disciplinary procedures in the current JCQ publication Superior and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Superior and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication and the sample requested of not on examination assessments (chapter 8) in order to the sample requested of the JCQ publication A course of the sample requested of the sample requested of the current sample requested of	Table manifes a contampath, according		
day of the examiner visit for an assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reads on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reads on the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason   Task marking - internally assessed components   A candidate submits little or no work   Where a candidate submits little or no work   A candidate is unable to finish   their work for unable to finish   their work for unforeseen reason   The work of a candidate is lost   or damaged   A candidate malpractice is   discovered   A teacher marks the work of   A candidate malpractice is    A candidate malpractice in the    A condidate			
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason  The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason  Task marking—internally assessed components  A candidate submits little or no work  Where a candidate submits little or no work  Where a candidate submits little or no work  A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason  The work for unforeseen reason  The work for unforeseen reason  The work for a candidate is lost or damaged  Candidate malpractice is  discovered  A teacher marks the work of a candidate malpractice is  At teacher marks the work of a candidate malpractice is  At teacher marks the work of a candidate malpractice is  A teacher marks the work of a candidate malpractice is  At teacher marks the work of a candidate malpractice is  At teacher marks the work of his/er of malpractice is  At teacher marks the work of his/er of malpractice is of the course of the c			
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason Task marking – internally assessed components A candidate submits little or no work  Where a candidate submits little or no work  Where a candidate submits little or no work  A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason The work of a candidate is lest or damaged Candidate malpractice is discovered  A leacher marks the work of his/her own child  A teacher marks the work of his/her own child  A teacher marks the work of his/her own child  An extension to the deadline for submitsion of marks, it is discovered that the work given to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine of a legitimate reason  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks of said by their teacher  A candidate malpractice is consideration and the process in the current JCO publication instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Melpractice) are followed instructions and Assessments are followed instructions of the process in the current JCO publication instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Melpractice) are followed instructions and Assessments are followed instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and Assessments are followed instructions of the process in the current JCO publication instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and Assessments are followed instructions and Assessments are followed.  A teacher marks the work of his/her own child at the start of the course Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not.  A variant part of the sample requested or not.  A variant part of the sample requested or not.  A variant part of the sample requested or not.  A variant part of the sample requested or not.  A variant part of the sample requested or not.  A variant part of the sample requested or not.  A variant part of the sample requested or not.  A variant part of the sample requested or not.  A variant par	•		
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for a manaceptable reason Task marking — Internally assessed components  A candidate submits little or no work  Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submits to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and are mark allocated appropriately, where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria are mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body  A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason their work for unforeseen reason their work of a candidate is lost or damaged  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  Candidate malpractice is discovered  Candidate malpractice is discovered  Candidate malpractice is discovered  Candidate malpractice is discovered  Candidate malpractice is desired to the current JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspecied Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also fo	acceptable reason		
day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason Task marking – Internally assessed components  Where a candidate submits little or no work  Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria an art and a mark allocated appropriately, where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria an arth of zero is submitted to the awarding body  A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason  The work for unforeseen reason  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  Candidate malpractice is  Instructions and processes to be followed for shortfall in work  Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for fost or damaged work  Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication and reporting procedures are also followed  A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course  Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not  An extension to the deadline for submitsion of marks is required for a legitimate reason  An extension to marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  A candidate sibre informed of the marks are subject to change through the awarding body is contacted for guidance  Candidates are informed of the marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration process (chap	A condidate is absent on the		
Unacceptable reason Task marking — Internally assessed components  A candidate submits little or no work  Where a candidate submits interest when marks are submitted to the awarding body withere a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body  A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason The work of a candidate is lost or damaged The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  Candidate mapractice is discovered  Candidate mapractice is discovered  Candidate mapractice is discovered  Candidate mapractice is discovered  A candidate mapractice is discovered  A candidate mapractice is discovered  Candidate mapractice is discovered  A candidate mapractice is discovered  Candidate mapractice is discovered  A candidate mapractice is discovered  Candidate mapractice is discovered  Candidate mapractice is discovered  A candidate mapractice is discovered  A candidate mapractice in Examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed  Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed  Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed  A condidate of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course  Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not  A warding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted  Candidate wishes to appeal the marks are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (bapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide		The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	
Task marking – internally assessed components A candidate submits little or no work A candidate submits little or no work A candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason The work of a candidate is lost or damaged Candidate is lost The work of a candidate is lost to the support of the condition of the cond			
A candidate submits little or no work. Where are candidates submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body. Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body.  A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason their work for unforeseen reason. The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  The work of a candidate is lost or described to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work  The work of a candidate is lost or the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work  Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments  (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed  A teacher marks the work of his/her own child  A teacher marks the work of his/her own child  A teacher marks the work of his/her own child of the special consideration process (chapter 2), and the start of the course  Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not  An extension to the deadline for submitsion of marks is required for a legitimate reason  A candidate wishes to appeal the work by their teacher  A candidates are informed of the brack being submitted to the examination assessment extension  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work		sed components	
absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment oriteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body  A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason The work of a candidate is lost or damaged The work of a candidate is lost or damaged Candidate malpractice is discovered  Candidate malpractice is discovered  Candidate malpractice is discovered  A teacher marks the work of his/her own child A teacher marks the work of his/her own child A teacher marks the work of his/her own child A teacher marks the work of his/her own child A conflict of Interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course  Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not After submission of marks is required For a legitimate reason  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  A candidates was given to candidates  A candidates was given to candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  A candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks be poly for special  Candidates are informed of their marks Candidates are informed of their marks Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  The volume of the candidates are made aware of the centre's informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  The volume of the candidates are made aware of the centre's informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  The volume of the candidates are made aware of the			
Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and an amk allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body.  A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  Candidate malpractice is discovered  Candidate malpractice is discovered  Candidate malpractice is discovered  A teacher marks the work of his/her own child  A teacher marks the work of his/her own child  A teacher marks the work of his/her own child  A teacher marks the work of his/her own child  A teacher marks is required for a legitimate reason  An extension to the deadline for submitission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not meth of the submitting work for formal assessment not meth of the submitting work for formal assessment not meth of the submitting work for formal assessment not meth of the submitting work for formal assessment not meth of the submitting work for formal assessment not meth of the submitting work for formal assessment not meth of the submitting work for formal assessment not meth of the submitting work for formal assessment not meth of the submitting work for formal assessment not meth of the submitting work for formal assessment not meth of the submitting work for formal assessment not meth of the submitting work for formal assessment not meth of the submitting work for formal assessment not meth of the submitting work for formal assessment not meth of the submitting work for formal assessment not meth of the submitting work for formal assessment not meth of the submitting work for formal assessment not meth of the submitting work for formal as	work		
assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body  A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason  Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortful in work  Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortful in work  Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work  Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9) Adaptactice) are followed  Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 6) Adaptactice) are followed  Assessments are followed  Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed  A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course  Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not  Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted  after submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates  Active the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the proc			
appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body  A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  A candidate malpractice is  Gandidate malpractice is  discovered  Candidate malpractice is  Chapter 90, to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work  Relevant staff are signposted to the UCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work  Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication  Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments  (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed  Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and  Assessments are followed  A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course  Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not  An extension to the deadline for submitish of the sample requested or not  An extension of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates  After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work prior to the marks they have been awarded for their work by their teacher  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work prior to the marks they have been awarded for their work by their teacher  Candidates are informed of their marks  Candidates are informed of their marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks they should to the internal deadline set by			
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  A candidate is lost or damaged  Candidate malpractice is discovered  Candidate malpractice is discovered  A teacher marks the work of his/her own child  A teacher marks the work of a candidate for a legitimate reason  An extension to the deadline for a legitimate reason  After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment tot met by  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment tot met by  Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for short marks are followed investigation and reporting procedures are also followed investigation and reporting procedures are also followed  A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course  Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not  Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted  Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  A candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work by their teacher  Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks are subject to change through the awarding body s moderation process  Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadlines are informed to the submission			
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason their work for a candidate is lost or damaged the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work.  Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work.  Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed  Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed  A teacher marks the work of a candidate for more internal disciplinary procedures are also followed  A teacher marks the work of a candidate of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course  Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not  Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted  Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension  Awarding body is contacted for guidance  Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration process conormine and the awarding body's moderation process.  Candidates ar			
their work for unforeseen reason  The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter S), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work  Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter S), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work  Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process in the current JCQ publication Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed  A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course  Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not a submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason  An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason  After submission of marks, it is discovered that the worng task was given to candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work prior to the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body: Records confirm deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body:  Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the s		body	
eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work  Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work  Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Melipractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures and the start of the course  Acandidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for marks, awarded for their work by their teacher  Acandidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  Acandidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  Acandidate wishes to appeal the ma	A candidate is unable to finish	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to	
The work of a candidate is lost or damaged  Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work  Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed appropriate internal application process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration proce	their work for unforeseen reason		
cramaged the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work linstructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed to the sample requested or not A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension  A candidates wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work prior to the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks Through the candidates are informed to the imarks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set b			
eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work Candidate malpractice is  Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason  An estension of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates was given to candidates was given to candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  A candidate are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks publication of the submission of marks Through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Through the awarding body's moderation process Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  A records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course	The work of a candidate is lost		
Candidate malpractice is discovered  Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed Appropriate internal description and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal description and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal description and Assessments are solicitive and the start of the course Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not  Avarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension  A candidates was given to candidates  A candidates are informed of the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work by their teacher  We candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation proce	or damaged		
Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed Assessment are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed Assessment are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted for a legitimate reason assessment at staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body.  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by			
(chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed to moderation whether part of the sample requested or not Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension assessment extension and extension assessment extension assessment extension a			
Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed the start of the course Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not  An extension to the deadline for Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension  After submission of marks, it is Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work prior to the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body: moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course	discovered		
A teacher marks the work of Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed A teacher marks the work of Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed A teacher marks the work of his/her own child  A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course  Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not  Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension  After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body  Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  Candidates are informed of their marks a teast two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course			
Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason  An extension of marks is required for a legitimate reason  After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for the marks awarded for the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates  Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks.  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  A secords confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course			
A teacher marks the work of his/her own child  A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that at eacher is teaching his/her own child that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not  An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason  An extension of marks is required for a legitimate reason  After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  A candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body  Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks candidates are informed of their marks a least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks. Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body  A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body  A conflict of interest is declared by informing that the start of the course			
A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course  Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not  Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted for a legitimate reason  After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  A candidate sare informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks.  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and understood by candidates at the start of the course  Deadline for submitting work for Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course			
that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not  An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason  Are legitimate reason  After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal  A candidate wishes to appeal  the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  Candidates are informed of their marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for  Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates  Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body  Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks  Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for  Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process  Candidates are informed of their marks are subject to change t	A teacher marks the work of		
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason  After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  A candidate wishes to appeal through the awarding body is confacted to the marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  C andidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  C andidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks to the awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  A country of the sample requested or not  Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted  Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates  C andidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body  Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks  C andidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadlines set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course			
An extension to the deadline for submitssion of marks is required for a legitimate reason  An extension of marks is required for a legitimate reason  After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  A candidate are informed of their marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are informed for marks to the awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates  Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body  Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks  Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by	This/fiel own office		
An extension to the deadline for submitssion of marks is required for a legitimate reason  Alter submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  A candidate washes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  A warding body is contacted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work prior to the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body  Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks  Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course			
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason  After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  A candidates are informed of their marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  Candidates are informed of their marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  Candidates are informed of their marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  Candidates are informed of their marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  Candidates are informed of their marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  Candidates are informed of their marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by			
granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension  After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work py their teacher  Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course	An extension to the deadline for		
Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension  After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates  A candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  A candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body  Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks  Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course	submission of marks is required		
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  A candidates are informed of the marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process (Candidates are informed of their marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process (Candidates are informed of their marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process (Candidates are informed of their marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process (Candidates are informed of their marks are subject to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks (Candidates are informed aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  A warding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the submication A guide to the submication A guide to the selection A guide to the selection A guide to the submitted to the awarding body  Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body  Records confirm deadlines set by the exams officer for the submission of marks to the awarding body  Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course	for a legitimate reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to	
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  Awarding body is contacted for guidance  Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be fol		the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine	
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body  Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks  Candidates are informed of their marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  A warding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process (c		eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination	
discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates  Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work prior to the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body  Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks  Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by			
the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body  Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks  Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  The special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration process.  Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarding body  Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks  Candidates are informed that these marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	After submission of marks, it is		
eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body  Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks  Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  Racandidates are informed of the marks they have been awarding body the marks to the addinates are informed of their marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  Candidates are informed of their marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  Candidates are informed of their marks are subject to change through the exams officer for the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course			
Consideration for candidates  A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body  Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks  Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks to the awarding body  Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course	was given to candidates		
A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher  Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body  Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks  Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the awarding submitted to the awarding body  Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course			
for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course	A 11.1 4 1.1 4		
work by their teacher  body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course			
Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course			
Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process  Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting work for the submission of marks to the awarding body  Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course	work by their teacher		
through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course			
Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting work for the submission of marks to the awarding body  Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course			
the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting work for deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting work for formal assessment not met by			
marks Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  marks Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting work for the course			
Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submission of marks to the awarding body  Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course			
aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submission of marks to the awarding body  Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course			
for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body  Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course			
awarding body  Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by  awarding body  Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course			
Deadline for submitting work for Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at formal assessment not met by			
formal assessment not met by the start of the course	Deadline for submitting work for		
candidate Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood	formal assessment not met by	the start of the course	
	candidate	Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood	

	Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met  Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	